

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/016,563	10/30/2001	Brian Lutz	D5235	6313
30409	7590 03/24/2004		EXAM	INER -
INTERNATIONAL ENGINE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY COMPANY 4201 WINFIELD ROAD P.O. BOX 1488			Y CHANG, CHING	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WARRENV	WARRENVILLE, IL 60555			/^
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004	12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/016,563	LUTZ, BRIAN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ching Chang	3748
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		•
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on the A 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final.	osecution as to the merits is
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-19 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 8,9 and 11-13 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3, 5-7,14-15, 17-19 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 4 and 16 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the ld drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prioric application for a list of the	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	

Application/Control Number: 10/016,563

Art Unit: 3748

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to the Appeal Brief filed on January 22, 2004 (Paper No. 11).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Arnold (US Patent No. 1,438,937).

Arnold discloses a valve actuation linkage mechanism for use in an internal combustion engine (See Fig. 1) comprising: a rocker arm (12) having a pivot rod cup; a valve bridge (14) having a pivot rod chamber; and a pivot rod (13) comprising a pivot head, wherein at least a part of the pivot rod head is pivotable within a pivot rod cup, and comprising a pivot rod body, wherein at least a part of the pivot rod body pivots in the pivot rod chamber.

Application/Control Number: 10/016,563 Page 3

Art Unit: 3748

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 2 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arnold (as applied to claim 1 above) in view of Mallas (US Patent No. 4,850,315).

Arnold discloses the invention, however, fails to disclose the said mechanism further comprising a pivot rod retainer.

The patent to Mallas on the other hand, teaches that it is conventional in the rocker and push rod art, to utilize a pivot rod retainer (76) to retain the pivot rod (10")

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized the pivot rod retainer as taught by Mallas in the Arnold device, since the use thereof would provide an improved valve actuation mechanism.

5. Claims 2 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arnold (as applied to claim 1 above) in view of Yates (US Patent No. 6,463,898).

Application/Control Number: 10/016,563

Art Unit: 3748

Arnold discloses the invention, however, fails to disclose the said mechanism further comprising a pivot rod retainer.

The patent to Yates on the other hand, teaches that it is conventional in the retainer art, to utilize a pivot rod retainer (41) to retain a pivot rod (37).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized the pivot rod retainer as taught by Yates in the Arnold device, since the use thereof would provide an improved valve actuation mechanism.

6. Claims 2-3, and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arnold (as applied to claim 1 above) in view of Knickerbrocker (US Patent No. 6,397,805).

Arnold discloses the invention, however, fails to disclose the said mechanism further comprising a pivot rod retainer.

The patent to Knickerbocker on the other hand, teaches that it is conventional in the retainer art, to utilize a pivot rod retainer (500) having at least one pivot rod prong (521-526) and at least one securing orifice (between 511-513).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized the pivot rod retainer as taught by Knickerbocker in the Arnold device, since the use thereof would provide an improved valve actuation mechanism.

Application/Control Number: 10/016,563 Page 5

Art Unit: 3748

7. Claims 5-7, and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arnold in view of Knickerbrocker (as applied to claims 1, 2/1, and 14 above), and further in view of Perez et al. (US Patent No. 6,273,042).

The modified Arnold device discloses the invention, however, fails to disclose the pivot rod comprising a pivot rod neck and the pivot rod and the pivot chamber being cooperated to form a contact line, and the pivot rod chamber further comprising a lubricant dimple.

The patent to Perez on the other hand, teaches that it is conventional in the rocker assembly art, to utilize a retainer (170) to restrain a pivot rod (122) through its pivot rod neck (134), in the meantime, the pivot rod being in line contact with a pivot rod chamber (160, 162), and the pivot rod chamber further comprising a lubricant dimple (148).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized the improvement of the pivot rod neck, a line contact between the pivot rod and the pivot chamber, and a lubricant dimple in the pivot chamber as taught by Perez in the modified Arnold device, since the use thereof would provide an improved valve actuation mechanism.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 8-9, and 11-13 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/016,563 Page 6

Art Unit: 3748

8. Claims 4, 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed on January 22, 2004 (Paper No. 11) with respect to claims 1-3, 5-7, 11-13, and 17-19 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The Final Rejection to this instant application mailed on August 22, 2003 has been withdrawn.

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ching Chang whose telephone number is (703)306-3478. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 7:00 AM -5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Denion can be reached on (703)308-2623. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/016,563

Art Unit: 3748

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patent Examiner

Ching Chang

THOMAS DENION
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 7